

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Office of Federal Operations P.O. Box 77960 Washington, DC 20013

Alline B.,<sup>1</sup> Complainant,

v.

Robert Wilkie, Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, Agency.

Appeal No. 2019000512

Agency No. 2003-0549-2018103618

#### **DECISION**

Complainant filed a timely appeal with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC or Commission) from the Agency's decision dated September 5, 2018, dismissing her complaint of unlawful employment discrimination in violation of Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Rehabilitation Act), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 791 et seq.

#### **BACKGROUND**

At the time of events giving rise to this complaint, Complainant worked as a Medical Support Assistant, GS-5, at the Agency's VAMC facility in Dallas, Texas.

On July 25, 2018, Complainant filed a formal complaint alleging that the Agency subjected her to discrimination on the basis of disability when: (1) from July 31, 2017, through September 13, 2017, a supervisor, charged Complainant 256 hours of absence without leave (AWOL); (2) on August 11, 2017, the supervisor sent a letter to Complainant to notify her to return to duty: (3) on September 14, 2017, the supervisor assigned the Complainant to work in a different work area upon her return to work with no computer access or phone; (4) in October 2017, the supervisor changed the Complainant's tour of duty from Monday through Friday 06:30-15:00 to 07:30-16:00; (5) on November 19, 2017, the supervisor issued the Complainant a letter of removal effective November 19, 2017.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This case has been randomly assigned a pseudonym which will replace Complainant's name when the decision is published to non-parties and the Commission's website.

The Agency dismissed the complaint, pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(2), for untimely EEO Counselor contact. The instant appeal followed.

2

### **ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS**

EEOC Regulation 29 C.F.R. § 1614.105(a)(1) requires that complaints of discrimination should be brought to the attention of the Equal Employment Opportunity Counselor within forty-five (45) days of the date of the matter alleged to be discriminatory or, in the case of a personnel action, within forty-five (45) days of the effective date of the action.

The record discloses that the latest alleged discriminatory event occurred on November 19, 2017 (effective date of her removal), but Complainant did not initiate contact with an EEO Counselor until April 13, 2018, which is beyond the forty-five (45) day limitation period. On appeal, Complainant has presented no persuasive arguments or evidence warranting an extension of the time limit for initiating EEO Counselor contact. We note that when asked to explain the late counselor contact to the Agency, Complainant stated that she had heightened anxiety and was unable to function. We have consistently held, in cases involving physical or mental health difficulties, that an extension is warranted only where an individual is so incapacitated by his condition that he is unable to meet the regulatory time limits. See Davis v. U.S. Postal Service, EEOC Request No. 05980475 (Aug. 6, 1998); Crear v. U.S. Postal Service, EEOC Request No. 05920700 (Oct. 29, 1992). Here, Complainant did not provide medical documentation that she was so incapacitated that she could not contact an EEO counselor, especially since she said she to contact her union representative concerning her removal. made "exhaustive efforts" Therefore, we find that Complainant has failed to provide sufficient justification for extending or tolling the limitation period.

### **CONCLUSION**

Accordingly, the Agency's final decision dismissing Complainant's complaint is AFFIRMED.

# STATEMENT OF RIGHTS - ON APPEAL RECONSIDERATION (M0617)

The Commission may, in its discretion, reconsider the decision in this case if the Complainant or the Agency submits a written request containing arguments or evidence which tend to establish that:

- 1. The appellate decision involved a clearly erroneous interpretation of material fact or law; or
- 2. The appellate decision will have a substantial impact on the policies, practices, or operations of the Agency.

Requests to reconsider, with supporting statement or brief, must be filed with the Office of Federal Operations (OFO) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of this decision. A party

shall have **twenty** (20) calendar days of receipt of another party's timely request for reconsideration in which to submit a brief or statement in opposition. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405; Equal Employment Opportunity Management Directive for 29 C.F.R. Part 1614 (EEO MD-110), at Chap. 9 § VII.B (Aug. 5, 2015). All requests and arguments must be submitted to the Director, Office of Federal Operations, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Complainant's request may be submitted via regular mail to P.O. Box 77960, Washington, DC 20013, or by certified mail to 131 M Street, NE, Washington, DC 20507. In the absence of a legible postmark, the request to reconsider shall be deemed timely filed if it is received by mail within five days of the expiration of the applicable filing period. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604. The agency's request must be submitted in digital format via the EEOC's Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP). See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403(g). The request or opposition must also include proof of service on the other party.

3

Failure to file within the time period will result in dismissal of your request for reconsideration as untimely, unless extenuating circumstances prevented the timely filing of the request. Any supporting documentation must be submitted with your request for reconsideration. The Commission will consider requests for reconsideration filed after the deadline only in very limited circumstances. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604(c).

## COMPLAINANT'S RIGHT TO FILE A CIVIL ACTION (S0610)

You have the right to file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court within ninety (90) calendar days from the date that you receive this decision. If you file a civil action, you must name as the defendant in the complaint the person who is the official Agency head or department head, identifying that person by his or her full name and official title. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of your case in court. "Agency" or "department" means the national organization, and not the local office, facility or department in which you work. If you file a request to reconsider and also file a civil action, filing a civil action will terminate the administrative processing of your complaint.

### RIGHT TO REQUEST COUNSEL (Z0815)

If you want to file a civil action but cannot pay the fees, costs, or security to do so, you may request permission from the court to proceed with the civil action without paying these fees or costs. Similarly, if you cannot afford an attorney to represent you in the civil action, you may request the court to appoint an attorney for you. You must submit the requests for waiver of court costs or appointment of an attorney directly to the court, not the Commission. The court has the sole discretion to grant or deny these types of requests.

Such requests do not alter the time limits for filing a civil action (please read the paragraph titled Complainant's Right to File a Civil Action for the specific time limits).

FOR THE COMMISSION:

Carlton M. Hadden, Director Office of Federal Operations

February 8, 2019 Date