

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Office of Federal Operations P.O. Box 77960 Washington, DC 20013

Cliff C.,¹
Complainant,

v.

Robert Wilkie, Secretary, Department of Veterans Affairs, Agency.

Appeal No. 2019001477

Agency No. 2003-0549-2018104027

DECISION

Complainant filed a timely appeal with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC or Commission) from the Agency's final decision dated November 1, 2018, dismissing a formal complaint of unlawful employment discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Title VII), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., and Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Rehabilitation Act), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 791 et seq.

BACKGROUND

During the period at issue, Complainant worked as a Housekeeper, WG-2, for the Agency in Dallas, Texas.

On September 10, 2018, Complainant filed a formal complaint. Complainant claimed that the Agency subjected him to discrimination based on disability, age, and in reprisal for prior protected EEO activity when:

1. On April 9, 2018, two named management officials, the Agency's Chief Environmental Management Service (Chief) and the Agency's Assistant Chief of Environmental Management Service (Assistant), assigned Complainant additional duties outside his regular work area of deep cleaning room 1A-160 and the Clinic Two areas.

¹ This case has been randomly assigned a pseudonym which will replace Complainant's name when the decision is published to non-parties and the Commission's website.

- 2. On April 10, 2018, Chief and Assistant assigned Complainant the additional duty of cleaning the Clinic One area.
- 3. On April 12, 2018, Chief and Assistant assigned Complainant the additional duties of cleaning rooms 1B-162 and other areas in the Clinic.
- 4. On April 16 and 17, 2018, Chief and Assistant moved Complainant from his regular work area to clean and restock restrooms 1B-166 and 1B-163, and to clean other areas of Clinic Four which are not duties regularly assigned to him.
- 5. On April 26, 2018, Assistant assigned Complainant to clean 1A-104 and other clinic areas that are designated to be cleaned by the 2nd shift.
- 6. On May 1, 2018, Assistant threatened to report Complainant to Chief and to request disciplinary action because Complainant was not in his work area.

On November 1, 2018, the Agency issued a final decision dismissing the complaint, pursuant to EEOC Regulation 29 C.F.R. §1614.107(a)(1), for failure to state a claim. In its final decision, the Agency determined that Complainant's failed to demonstrate that the alleged conduct was objectively hostile or that it was so severe and pervasive that it altered the conditions of his employment with the Agency.

The instant appeal followed.

ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The regulation set forth at 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(1) provides, in relevant part, that an agency shall dismiss a complaint that fails to state a claim. An agency shall accept a complaint from any aggrieved employee or applicant for employment who believes that he or she has been discriminated against by the agency because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age or disabling condition. 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.103, 1614.106(a).

In <u>Harris v. Forklift Systems, Inc.</u>, 510 U.S. 17, 21 (1993), the Supreme Court reaffirmed the holding of <u>Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson</u>, 477 U.S. 57, 67 (1986), that harassment is actionable if it is sufficiently severe or pervasive to alter the conditions of the complainant's employment. Thus, not all claims of harassment are actionable. As noted by the Supreme Court in <u>Faragher v. City of Boca Raton</u>, 524 U.S. 775, 788 (1998): "simple teasing, offhand comments, and isolated incidents (unless extremely serious) will not amount to discriminatory changes in the 'terms and conditions of employment."

Despite the Agency determination in its final decision that Complainant's allegations are not severe and pervasive, we note that Complainant claims that Agency officials subjected him to a hostile work environment when they assigned him to perform duties outside of his regularly assigned duties consistently over consecutive days and weeks in April and May 2018 and threatened him with disciplinary action. Complainant has alleged a hostile work environment and as a remedy requests that the harassment stop and that he be made whole. While each of the allegations by themselves may appear to concern relatively minor matters, given the breadth of Complainant's allegations, over consecutive months involving the same two management officials, we find that, when considering the incidents together, he has asserted sufficiently pervasive harassment to state a cognizable claim under the EEOC regulations that requires further investigation and adjudication. See Cervantes v. U.S. Postal Serv., EEOC Request No. 05930303 (Nov. 12, 1993).

Upon review, we find that Complainant has shown an injury or harm to a term, condition, or privilege of employment for which there is a remedy. See <u>Diaz v. Dep't of the Air Force</u>, EEOC Request No. 05931049 (April 21, 1994).

CONCLUSION

The Agency final decision dismissing the formal complaint for failure to state a claim is REVERSED. The formal complaint is REMANDED to the Agency for further processing in accordance with the ORDER below.

ORDER (E0618)

The Agency is ordered to process the remanded claims in accordance with 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108 et seq. The Agency shall acknowledge to the Complainant that it has received the remanded claims within thirty (30) calendar days of the date this decision was issued. The Agency shall issue to Complainant a copy of the investigative file and also shall notify Complainant of the appropriate rights within one hundred fifty (150) calendar days of the date this decision was issued, unless the matter is otherwise resolved prior to that time. If the Complainant requests a final decision without a hearing, the Agency shall issue a final decision within sixty (60) days of receipt of Complainant's request.

As provided in the statement entitled "Implementation of the Commission's Decision," the Agency must send to the Compliance Officer: 1) a copy of the Agency's letter of acknowledgment to Complainant, 2) a copy of the Agency's notice that transmits the investigative file and notice of rights, and 3) either a copy of the complainant's request for a hearing, a copy of complainant's request for an Agency final decision, or a statement from the agency that it did not receive a response from complainant by the end of the election period.

<u>IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMMISSION'S DECISION</u> (K0618)

Under 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405(c) and § 1614.502, compliance with the Commission's corrective action is mandatory. Within seven (7) calendar days of the completion of each ordered corrective action, the Agency shall submit via the Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP) supporting documents in the digital format required by the Commission, referencing the compliance docket number under which compliance was being monitored. Once all compliance is complete, the Agency shall submit via FedSEP a final compliance report in the digital format required by the Commission. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403(g). The Agency's final report must contain supporting documentation when previously not uploaded, and the Agency must send a copy of all submissions to the Complainant and his/her representative.

If the Agency does not comply with the Commission's order, the Complainant may petition the Commission for enforcement of the order. 29 C.F.R. § 1614.503(a). The Complainant also has the right to file a civil action to enforce compliance with the Commission's order prior to or following an administrative petition for enforcement. See 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.407, 1614.408, and 29 C.F.R. § 1614.503(g). Alternatively, the Complainant has the right to file a civil action on the underlying complaint in accordance with the paragraph below entitled "Right to File a Civil Action." 29 C.F.R. §§ 1614.407 and 1614.408. A civil action for enforcement or a civil action on the underlying complaint is subject to the deadline stated in 42 U.S.C. 2000e-16(c) (1994 & Supp. IV 1999). If the Complainant files a civil action, the administrative processing of the complaint, including any petition for enforcement, will be terminated. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.409.

STATEMENT OF RIGHTS - ON APPEAL

RECONSIDERATION (M0617)

The Commission may, in its discretion, reconsider the decision in this case if the Complainant or the Agency submits a written request containing arguments or evidence which tend to establish that:

- 1. The appellate decision involved a clearly erroneous interpretation of material fact or law; or
- 2. The appellate decision will have a substantial impact on the policies, practices, or operations of the Agency.

Requests to reconsider, with supporting statement or brief, must be filed with the Office of Federal Operations (OFO) within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of this decision. A party shall have twenty (20) calendar days of receipt of another party's timely request for reconsideration in which to submit a brief or statement in opposition. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405; Equal Employment Opportunity Management Directive for 29 C.F.R. Part 1614 (EEO MD-110), at Chap. 9 § VII.B (Aug. 5, 2015). All requests and arguments must be submitted to the Director, Office of Federal Operations, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

Complainant's request may be submitted via regular mail to P.O. Box 77960, Washington, DC 20013, or by certified mail to 131 M Street, NE, Washington, DC 20507. In the absence of a legible postmark, the request to reconsider shall be deemed timely filed if it is received by mail within five days of the expiration of the applicable filing period. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604. The agency's request must be submitted in digital format via the EEOC's Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP). See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403(g). The request or opposition must also include proof of service on the other party.

Failure to file within the time period will result in dismissal of your request for reconsideration as untimely, unless extenuating circumstances prevented the timely filing of the request. Any supporting documentation must be submitted with your request for reconsideration. The Commission will consider requests for reconsideration filed after the deadline only in very limited circumstances. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604(c).

COMPLAINANT'S RIGHT TO FILE A CIVIL ACTION (R0610)

This is a decision requiring the Agency to continue its administrative processing of your complaint. However, if you wish to file a civil action, you have the right to file such action in an appropriate United States District Court within ninety (90) calendar days from the date that you receive this decision. In the alternative, you may file a civil action after one hundred and eighty (180) calendar days of the date you filed your complaint with the Agency, or filed your appeal with the Commission. If you file a civil action, you must name as the defendant in the complaint the person who is the official Agency head or department head, identifying that person by his or her full name and official title. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of your case in court. "Agency" or "department" means the national organization, and not the local office, facility or department in which you work. Filing a civil action will terminate the administrative processing of your complaint.

RIGHT TO REQUEST COUNSEL (Z0815)

If you want to file a civil action but cannot pay the fees, costs, or security to do so, you may request permission from the court to proceed with the civil action without paying these fees or costs. Similarly, if you cannot afford an attorney to represent you in the civil action, you may request the court to appoint an attorney for you. You must submit the requests for waiver of court costs or appointment of an attorney directly to the court, not the Commission. The

court has the sole discretion to grant or deny these types of requests. Such requests do not alter the time limits for filing a civil action (please read the paragraph titled Complainant's Right to File a Civil Action for the specific time limits).

FOR THE COMMISSION:

Carlton M. Hadden, Director Office of Federal Operations

April 24, 2019

Date