



**U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION**  
**Office of Federal Operations**  
**P.O. Box 77960**  
**Washington, DC 20013**

[REDACTED]  
Rick G.,<sup>1</sup>  
Complainant,

v.

Louis DeJoy,  
Postmaster General,  
United States Postal Service  
(Field Areas and Regions),  
Agency.

Appeal No. 2024005282

Agency No. 4E-940-0070-24

**DECISION**

Complainant filed a timely appeal with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC or Commission) from the Agency's decision dated August 22, 2024, dismissing his complaint of unlawful employment discrimination in violation of Section 501 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (Rehabilitation Act), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 791 et seq. and the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967 (ADEA), as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq. Upon review, the Commission finds that Complainant's complaint was properly dismissed pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(1) for failure to state a claim.

**ISSUES PRESENTED**

Whether the Agency properly dismissed Complainant's formal complaint for failure to state a claim.

---

<sup>1</sup> This case has been randomly assigned a pseudonym which will replace Complainant's name when the decision is published to non-parties and the Commission's website.

### BACKGROUND

At the time of events giving rise to this complaint, Complainant worked as a Carrier Technician at the Agency's Pacifica Post Office in Pacifica, California. On July 20, 2024, Complainant filed a formal complaint alleging that the Agency subjected him to discrimination on the bases of disability (lower back), age (44), and in reprisal for prior protected EEO activity when:

1. On May 13, 2024, Complainant became aware management provided false information and delayed the processing of his paperwork with the Department of Labor's (DOL) Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP); and
2. On August 2, 2024, management provided false information on Complainant's Form CA-07 to DOL OWCP.

The Agency dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.107(a)(1). The Agency determined that the claims amounted to an impermissible collateral attack upon the OWCP process. The instant appeal followed.

### CONTENTIONS ON APPEAL

On appeal, Complainant argues that the Agency mishandled medical documentation he submitted to support his OWCP claim. Complainant contended that the documentation submitted by management to OWCP was relevant to previous EEO complaints he filed against the Agency. Complainant also raises matters not discussed in his formal or informal complaints.

### STANDARD OF REVIEW

The Agency's decision to dismiss a complaint is subject to de novo review by the Commission, which requires the Commission to examine the record without regard to the factual and legal determinations of the previous decision maker and issue its decision based on the Commission's own assessment of the record and its interpretation of the law. 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405(a). The Commission should construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the complainant and take the complaint's allegations as true. See Cobb v. Dep't of the Treasury, EEOC Request No. 05970077 (March 13, 1997). Thus, all reasonable inferences that may be drawn from the complaint's allegations must be made in favor of the complainant.

### ANALYSIS

The Agency properly dismissed the complaint for failure to state a claim. These matters fall within the jurisdiction of the OWCP process. The Commission has held that an employee cannot use the EEO complaint process to lodge a collateral attack on another proceeding. See Violet F. v. U.S. Postal Serv., EEOC Appeal No. 2024004550 (Jan. 21, 2025); Hannon v. Dep't of the Treasury, EEOC Appeal No. 05A01149 (May 8, 2003); Wills v. Dep't of Def., EEOC Request No. 05970596 (July 30, 1998); Kleinman v. U.S. Postal Serv., EEOC Request No. 05940585 (Sept. 22, 1994). It is inappropriate to attempt to use the EEO process to collaterally attack actions which occurred during the OWCP process. The proper forum for Complainant to have raised his challenges to actions which occurred during the OWCP process is within that forum itself.

To the extent Complainant raises new matters on appeal, we note Complainant did not allege these matters in the instant formal complaint or at any point during the processing of his complaint. Accordingly, Complainant is advised that if he wishes to pursue additional claims, he should contact an EEO counselor.

### CONCLUSION

Accordingly, the Agency's final decision dismissing Complainant's complaint is **AFFIRMED**.

### STATEMENT OF RIGHTS - ON APPEAL RECONSIDERATION (M0124.1)

The Commission may, in its discretion, reconsider this appellate decision if Complainant or the Agency submits a written request that contains arguments or evidence that tend to establish that:

1. The appellate decision involved a clearly erroneous interpretation of material fact or law; or
2. The appellate decision will have a substantial impact on the policies, practices, or operations of the agency.

Requests for reconsideration must be filed with EEOC's Office of Federal Operations (OFO) **within thirty (30) calendar days** of receipt of this decision.

If the party requesting reconsideration elects to file a statement or brief in support of the request, **that statement or brief must be filed together with the request for reconsideration.** A party shall have **twenty (20) calendar days** from receipt of another party's request for reconsideration within which to submit a brief or statement in opposition. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.405; Equal Employment Opportunity Management Directive for 29 C.F.R. Part 1614 (EEO MD-110), at Chap. 9 § VII.B (Aug. 5, 2015).

Complainant should submit their request for reconsideration, and any statement or brief in support of their request, via the EEOC Public Portal, which can be found at <https://publicportal.eeoc.gov/Portal/Login.aspx>. Alternatively, Complainant can submit their request and arguments to the Director, Office of Federal Operations, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, via regular mail addressed to P.O. Box 77960, Washington, DC 20013, or by certified mail addressed to 131 M Street, NE, Washington, DC 20507. In the absence of a legible postmark, a complainant's request to reconsider shall be deemed timely filed if OFO receives it by mail within five days of the expiration of the applicable filing period. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604.

An agency's request for reconsideration must be submitted in digital format via the EEOC's Federal Sector EEO Portal (FedSEP). See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.403(g). Either party's request and/or statement or brief in opposition must also include proof of service on the other party, unless Complainant files their request via the EEOC Public Portal, in which case no proof of service is required.

Failure to file within the 30-day time period will result in dismissal of the party's request for reconsideration as untimely, unless extenuating circumstances prevented the timely filing of the request. **Any supporting documentation must be submitted together with the request for reconsideration.** The Commission will consider requests for reconsideration filed after the deadline only in very limited circumstances. See 29 C.F.R. § 1614.604(f).

#### COMPLAINANT'S RIGHT TO FILE A CIVIL ACTION (S0124)


You have the right to file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court **within ninety (90) calendar days** from the date that you receive this decision. If you file a civil action, you must name as the defendant in the complaint the person who is the official Agency head or department head, identifying that person by their full name and official title.

Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of your case in court. "Agency" or "department" means the national organization, and not the local office, facility or department in which you work. If you file a request to reconsider and also file a civil action, **filing a civil action will terminate the administrative processing of your complaint.**

RIGHT TO REQUEST COUNSEL (Z0815)

If you want to file a civil action but cannot pay the fees, costs, or security to do so, you may request permission from the court to proceed with the civil action without paying these fees or costs. Similarly, if you cannot afford an attorney to represent you in the civil action, you may request the court to appoint an attorney for you. **You must submit the requests for waiver of court costs or appointment of an attorney directly to the court, not the Commission.** The court has the sole discretion to grant or deny these types of requests. Such requests do not alter the time limits for filing a civil action (please read the paragraph titled Complainant's Right to File a Civil Action for the specific time limits).

FOR THE COMMISSION:



Carlton M. Hadden, Director  
Office of Federal Operations

February 18, 2025

Date